

EXPONENTIAL FORMULAE FOR SEMI-GROUP OF OPERATORS IN TERMS OF THE RESOLVENT

BY
Z. DITZIAN

ABSTRACT

Rate of convergence in terms of the modulus of continuity of either $T(t)f$ or of $T(t)Af$, where $T(t)$ is a strongly continuous semi-group of operators, is obtained for Phillips' and for Widder's exponential formula.

1. Introduction

In this paper $T(t)$ will be a strongly continuous semi-group of operators from a Banach space \mathcal{B} into itself that is; a \mathcal{C}_0 semi-group; A will be its infinitesimal generator; and $R(\lambda; A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ the resolvent of A . Recalling that

$$(1.1) \quad R(\lambda, A)f = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)f dt \quad \lambda > \gamma_0$$

[6, p. 352], an inversion formula for the Laplace transform will express $T(t)f$ in terms of $R(\lambda; A)f$, in other words, will yield exponential formulae for $T(t)$.

It was shown by Phillips [8] that

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^k}{k!} (R(\lambda; A))^k f \rightarrow T(t)f$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{B}$ uniformly in $t \in [0, L]$. Also, following Widder's inversion formula [9, Ch. VII], it was proved that for $f \in \mathcal{B}$ (see [6, p. 352])

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k}{t} R \left(\frac{k}{t}; A \right) \right)^k f \rightarrow T(t)f$$

uniformly in $[0, L]$.

We shall be interested in the rate of convergence of (1.2) and (1.3) in terms of the modulus of continuity in $[0, L]$, $w_L(\delta; T(\cdot)f)$ defined by

$$(1.4) \quad w_L(\delta; T(\cdot)) = \sup \{ \|T(t_1)f - T(t_2)f\|; |t_1 - t_2| \leq \delta, 0 \leq t_i \leq L \}.$$

The rate of convergence of Hille's first and second exponential formulae [6, p. 354] in terms of (1.4) were investigated by Hsu [7], Butzer and Berens [1] and the author [2], [3]. The rate of convergence obtained in this paper is $Kw_L(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}; T(\cdot)f)$ and $K_1w_L(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}; T(\cdot)f)$ for some constants K and K_1 for (1.2) and (1.3) respectively. It will be shown that considering only $w_L(\delta; T(\cdot)f)$ the estimates for Widder's and Phillip's exponential formulae are up to a constant best possible, since $k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (or $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$) cannot be replaced by a function of k (or λ) tending faster to zero. However, when $d/dt(T(t)f)$ is continuous (or $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$), a better estimate is possible in terms of the modulus of continuity of $d/dt(T(t)f) = T(t)Af$; or modulus of continuity of $T(t)Af$. The rate in this case will be $K\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}w_L(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot)Af)$ (for some constant K) for (1.2) (and k replaces λ for (1.3)). We shall show that even if $d^m/dt^m(T(t)f)$ is continuous for all m (that is, $f \in \cap_m \mathcal{D}(A^m)$) we cannot improve on the results obtained by considering only $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

In this section we shall prove some Lemmas which will be essential for the proof of our rate of convergence estimates.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $K(t, \tau; \lambda)$ be the positive measure defined by*

$$(2.1) \quad K(t, \tau; \lambda) = e^{-\lambda(t+\tau)} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n \tau^{n-1}}{(n!)^2} + \delta(\tau) \right\},$$

then for $\lambda > \alpha \geq 0$

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} K(t, \tau; \lambda)(t - \tau)^2 e^{\alpha\tau} d\tau = e^{-\lambda t} \left\{ \frac{2t}{\lambda - \alpha} - \frac{2}{(\lambda - \alpha)^2} \right\} + \left\{ t^2 \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \alpha} \right)^2 - 1 \right]^2 + \frac{2t}{\lambda - \alpha} + \frac{2}{(\lambda - \alpha)^2} \right\} \exp[\alpha t + (\alpha^2 t / \lambda - \alpha)]$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^{\infty} K(t, \tau; \lambda)(t - \tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda}.$$

PROOF. We shall calculate the integrals $\int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) \tau^i e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and both (2.1) and (2.2) will follow combining them. Fubini's theorem, applicable since $K(t, \tau; \lambda) - e^{-\lambda(t+\tau)} \delta(\tau) \geq 0$, implies for $\lambda > \alpha \geq 0$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2n} t^n e^{-\lambda t}}{(n!)^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda-\alpha)\tau} \tau^n d\tau + e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\lambda^2 t}{\lambda - \alpha} \right)^n e^{-\lambda t} = \exp \left[\lambda t \left(-1 + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \alpha} \right) \right] = \exp \left[\alpha t \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda - \alpha} \right) \right];$$

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) \tau e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2n} t^n e^{-\lambda t} (n+1)}{n! (\lambda - \alpha)^{n+1}}$$

$$= \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \alpha} \right)^2 t + \frac{1}{\lambda - \alpha} \right] \exp \left(\alpha t \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda - \alpha} \right) \right) - \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda - \alpha}$$

using $\tau \delta(\tau) = 0$; and

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) \tau^2 e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{\lambda^4}{(\lambda - \alpha)^4} t^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda - \alpha} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \alpha} \right)^2 4t + \frac{2}{(\lambda - \alpha)^2} \right\} \exp \left(\alpha t \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda - \alpha} \right) \right) - \frac{2e^{-\lambda t}}{(\lambda - \alpha)^2}.$$

Q.E.D.

In the particularly useful case $\alpha = 0$ we have as a corollary of Lemma 2.1

$$(2.7) \quad \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) (t - \tau)^2 d\tau = \frac{2t}{\lambda} + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} + e^{-\lambda t} \left(\frac{2t}{\lambda} - \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \right).$$

Also, if λ is large enough we have

COROLLARY 2.1.a. For $\lambda \geq \lambda_0(\alpha; L)$ and $t \leq L < \infty$

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) (t - \tau)^2 e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau \leq M \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

where M depends on λ_0, α and L .

Our next Lemma will be related to Widder's exponential formula (1.3).

LEMMA 2.2. Let $W(k; t, \tau)$ be given by

$$(2.9) \quad W(k; t, \tau) \equiv \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{k}{t} \right)^{k+1} e^{-k\tau/t} \tau^k,$$

then for $k > \alpha t$

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^\infty W(k; t, \tau) e^{\alpha\tau} (t - \tau)^2 d\tau \\ = t^2 \left(1 - \frac{2k + 2}{k - \alpha t} + \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{(k - \alpha t)^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha t}{k} \right)^{-k-1},$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^\infty W(k; t, \tau) (t - \tau) d\tau = -\frac{t}{k}.$$

PROOF. Following Lemma 2.1, we shall calculate $\int_0^\infty W(k; t, \tau) \tau^i e^{\alpha\tau} d\tau$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ as follows:

$$(2.12) \quad \int_0^\infty W(k; t, \tau) e^{\alpha\tau} d\tau = \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{k}{t} \right)^{k+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-(k\tau/t) + \alpha\tau} \tau^k d\tau \\ = \left(\frac{k}{t} \right)^{k+1} \left(\frac{k}{t} - \alpha \right)^{-k-1} = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha t}{k} \right)^{-k-1};$$

$$(2.13) \quad \int_0^\infty W(k; t, \tau) \tau e^{\alpha\tau} d\tau = t \left(\frac{k + 1}{k - \alpha t} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha t}{k} \right)^{-k-1};$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \int_0^\infty W(k; t, \tau) \tau^2 e^{\alpha\tau} d\tau = t^2 \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{(k - \alpha t)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha t}{k} \right)^{-k-1}.$$

Combining (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain (2.7) and (2.8).

The particular case of (2.10) when $\alpha = 0$ will be of use

$$(2.15) \quad \int_0^\infty W(k; t, \tau) (t - \tau)^2 d\tau = \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{2}{k^2} \right) t^2.$$

The following simple corollary of (2.10) will be sufficient for most of our estimates.

COROLLARY 2.2.a. *Let $w(k; t, \tau)$ be given by (2.9), then for $k \geq k_0(\alpha, L)$, $k_0 > \alpha L + 1$, and $t \leq L$, we have*

$$(2.16) \quad \int_0^\infty W(k; t, \tau) (t - \tau)^2 e^{\alpha\tau} d\tau \leq M \frac{1}{k},$$

where M depends on α, L and k_0 .

PROOF. The expression $(k/k - \alpha t)$ is bounded for $k \geq \alpha t + 1$; $(1 - \alpha t/k)^{-k-1}$ is bounded; and so is a polynomial in t where $t \in [0, L]$; which together imply that (2.15) follows from (2.12).

3. Rate of convergence depending on $w_L(\delta, T(\cdot)f)$.

We shall investigate first the rate of convergence of Phillips' exponential formula.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $T(t)$ be a \mathcal{C}_0 semi-group, then for $0 \leq t \leq L - \delta$ (δ fixed) and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{n!} (R(\lambda; A))^n f - T(t)f \right\| \leq M(f, L)w_L(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot)f).$$

PROOF. Using (1.1) and recalling that for $n \geq 1, \lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\|T(t)\| \leq Ke^{\lambda_0 t}$ (which is always satisfied for some λ_0) we have

$$(3.2) \quad (R(\lambda; A))^n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda\tau} \tau^{n-1} T(\tau) d\tau \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

while $(R(\lambda; A))^0 = I$. Therefore, we can write for $\lambda > \lambda_0$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\lambda, t, f) &\equiv e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{n!} (R(\lambda; A))^n f - T(t)f \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{(n!)^2} \tau^{n-1} e^{-\lambda\tau} [T(\tau)f - T(t)f] d\tau + e^{-\lambda t} [f - T(t)f], \end{aligned}$$

where the change of order of integration and summation is justified for $\lambda > \lambda_0$ by their absolute convergence, and the insertion of $T(t)f$ under integral and sum signs is justified by (2.4) (substituting there $\alpha = 0$). For $0 \leq t \leq L - \delta$ and $\eta < \delta$ we can write

$$(3.4) \quad \|T(\tau)f - T(t)f\| \leq w_L(|\tau - t|, T(\cdot)f) \leq (1 + \eta^{-1}|t - \tau|)w_L(\eta, T(\cdot)f),$$

and, therefore, estimate $I(\lambda, t; f)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(\lambda, t, f)\| &\leq \left\{ e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^L \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{(n!)^2} \left(1 + \frac{|\tau - t|}{\eta}\right) \tau^{n-1} e^{-\lambda\tau} d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-\lambda t} \left(1 + \frac{|t|}{\eta}\right) \right\} w_L(\eta, T(\cdot)f) + e^{-\lambda t} \int_L^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{(n!)^2} (\|T(\tau)f\| \\ &\quad + \|T(t)f\|) \tau^{n-1} e^{-\lambda\tau} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate $\|T(\tau)f\| \leq K \|f\| e^{\lambda_1 \tau}$, the definition and positivity of $K(t, \tau; \lambda)$, and the monotonicity of $\delta^{-2}(t - \tau)^2$ in $L \leq \tau < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(\lambda, t, f)\| &\leq \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} K(t, \tau; \lambda) d\tau + \eta^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} K(t, \tau; \lambda) |t - \tau| d\tau \right\} w_L(\eta, T(\cdot)f) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_0^{\infty} K(t, \tau; \lambda) (t - \tau)^2 K \|f\| (e^{\lambda_0 \tau} + e^{\lambda_0 t}) d\tau = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) d\tau = 1$, we have, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (2.8),

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) |t - \tau| d\tau \leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) (t - \tau)^2 d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Choosing now $\eta = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$I_1 \leq (1 + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}) w_L(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot) f).$$

Corollary 2.1.a and simple properties of the modulus of continuity imply

$$I_2 \leq M_1 \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq M_2 w_L\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} T(\cdot) f\right) \leq M_2 w_L(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot) f), f \neq 0.$$

$$I_2 = 0 \text{ for } f = 0.$$

Combining the estimates for I_1 and I_2 , we complete the proof of our theorem.

Our next result will be about the rate of convergence of Widder's Exponential formula.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $T(t)$ be a \mathcal{C}_0 semi-group, then for $k \geq k_0$ and, $0 < t \leq L - \delta$, we have*

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \left(\frac{k}{t} R\left(\frac{k}{t}, A\right) \right)^{k+1} f - T(t) f \right\| \leq M(\delta, L) w_L(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot) f).$$

PROOF. Following (3.2) and $\|T(t)\| \leq k e^{\lambda_0 t}$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \left(\frac{k}{t} R\left(\frac{k}{t}, A\right) \right)^{k+1} = \left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\infty e^{-k\tau} \tau^k T(\tau) d\tau,$$

where the integral converges for $k/t > \lambda_0$ or $k > \lambda_0 t$. Choosing $k_0 > \lambda_0 L + 1$, we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.1., using Lemma 2.2 instead of 2.1. In fact, wherever Eq. (2.l) ($l = 1, \dots, 8$) is used there, we should use here Eq. (2.l + 8) and replace λ by k . Q.E.D.

4. Rate of convergence for $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

In this section the rates of convergence of the exponential formulae (1.2) and (1.3) are related to the modulus of continuity of $(d/dt)T(t)f$. We would not treat connections with the modulus of continuity of higher derivatives, since, as we shall see later, for the formulae treated, the estimates would not improve by more than a multiplicative constant.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $T(t)$ be a \mathcal{C}_0 semi-group, then if $(d/dt)T(t)f = T(t)Af$ is continuous, we have for $0 < t \leq L - \delta$*

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{n!} (R(\lambda; A))^n f - T(t)f \right\| \leq M_1 \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} w_L(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot)Af) \quad \lambda > \lambda_0$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| \left(\frac{k}{t} R\left(\frac{k}{t}; A\right) \right)^{k+1} f - T(t)f \right\| \leq M_2 k^{-\frac{1}{2}} w_L(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot)Af) \quad k > k_0.$$

PROOF. If $T(t)Af$ is continuous, we write

$$\begin{aligned} T(\tau)f - T(t)f &= (\tau - t) \int_0^1 T(t + \zeta(\tau - t))Af \, d\zeta \\ &= (\tau - t)T(t)Af + (\tau - t) \int_0^1 [T(t + \zeta(\tau - t))Af - T(t)Af] \, d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove (4.1) first. Following (3.3) in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have for $t \leq L - \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} I(\lambda, t, f) &= T(t)Af \int_0^{\infty} K(t, \tau; \lambda)(\tau - t) \, d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\infty} K(t, \tau; \lambda)(\lambda - t) \left\{ \int_0^1 [T(t + \zeta(\tau - t))Af - T(t)Af] \, d\zeta \right\} \, d\tau \equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using 2.3, we estimate I_1 by

$$(4.3) \quad \|I_1\| \leq \|T(t)\| \|Af\| \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \right) \leq 2 \|T(t)\| \|Af\| \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

To estimate I_2 we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\| &\leq \int_0^L K(t, \tau; \lambda) |\tau - t| \int_0^1 \|T(t + \zeta(\tau - t))Af - T(t)Af\| \, d\zeta \, d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_L^{\infty} K(t, \tau; \lambda) |\tau - t| \left\{ \|T(t)Af\| + \int_0^1 \|T(t + \zeta(\tau - t))Af\| \, d\zeta \right\} \, d\tau \\ &\equiv J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate J_1 we estimate first the inner integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \|T(t + \zeta(\tau - t))Af - T(t)Af\| \, d\zeta &\leq \int_0^1 w_L(\zeta |\tau - t|, T(\cdot)Af) \, d\zeta \\ &\leq \int_0^1 w_L(|\tau - t|; T(\cdot)Af) \, d\zeta = w_L(|\tau - t|; T(\cdot)Af) \\ &\leq (1 + \eta^{-1} |\tau - t|) w_L(\eta; T(\cdot)Af). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &\leq \int_0^L K(t, \tau; \lambda) |\tau - t| (1 + \eta^{-1} |\tau - t|) w_L(\eta; T(\cdot)Af) d\tau \\
 &\leq w_L(\eta; T(\cdot)Af) \left\{ \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) |t - \tau| d\tau + \eta^{-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) (t - \tau)^2 d\tau \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\eta = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and recalling (2.8) and (3.5), we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad J_1 \leq \left(M^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} M \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) w_L(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}; T(\cdot)Af) \leq K \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} w_L(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}; T(\cdot)Af).$$

To estimate J_2 we recall that $\|T(\tau)Af\| \leq \|T(\tau)\| \|Af\| \leq M \|Af\| e^{\lambda_0 \tau}$ and therefore, we have, using the monotonicity of $|\tau - t|$ for $\tau \in [L, \infty)$ and the positivity of $K(t, \tau, \lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq \int_L^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) |\tau - t| M \|Af\| (e^{\lambda_0 t} + e^{\lambda_0(\tau+t)}) d\tau \\
 &\leq M \|Af\| e^{\lambda_0 t} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_L^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) (\tau - t)^2 (1 + e^{\lambda_0 \tau}) d\tau \\
 &\leq M \|Af\| e^{\lambda_0 L} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau; \lambda) (\tau - t)^2 (1 + e^{\lambda_0 \tau}) d\tau \leq K_2 \cdot \lambda^{-1} \\
 &\leq K_2 \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} w_{1,L}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot)f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we complete the proof of (4.1). The proof of (4.2) is analogous using (2, $l + 8$) wherever (2, l) is used in the above.

5. On the generality of estimates in Sections 3 and 4.

We shall first show that the estimate in Theorem 3.2 is the best possible up to a multiplicative constant. To demonstrate the above, let us consider the example of the Banach space $C_0(-\infty, \infty)$ of bounded continuous functions, which tend to zero as x tends to infinity, and the semi-group $T(t)f(x) = f(t + x)$.

We choose $f_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - |x| & |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, and for this choice obviously $w_L(\delta, T(\cdot)f) = \delta$ (valid for any L). To compute a lower estimate for (3.6) on the other hand we estimate

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_x \left| \left(\frac{k}{t} \right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\infty e^{-k\tau/t} {}^k f_1(x + \tau) d\tau - f_1(x + t) \right|.$$

We justify the statement above if we show for some x the expression in (5.1) is greater than $Kk^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where $K > 0$ is independent of k . Choosing $x = -1$, $t = 1$, and $0 < \delta < 1$, we have

$$(k)^{k+1} \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\infty e^{-k\tau} \tau^k [f_1(\tau - 1) - f_1(0)] d\tau = I_1 + I_2$$

where I_1 and I_2 are integrals on $|\tau - 1| \leq \delta$, and $|\tau - 1| > \delta$ respectively. For $|\tau| \leq \delta$ $f_1(\tau - 1) - f_1(0) = -|\tau - 1|$, and, therefore,

$$|I_1| = k^{k+1} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{|1-\tau| \leq \delta} e^{-k\tau} \tau^k |\tau - 1| d\tau.$$

Using Theorem 4.3 of [5] and especially (13.3) there, we obtain for $a_k = 0$, $g(k) = k$, $\lambda = 0$, and $h(x)$ being $h(\tau)$ here and $h(\tau) = -\tau + \log \tau$, $a = 1$, $b = 1 + \delta$, the estimate

$$k^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot k^{k+1} \frac{1}{k!} \int_1^{1+\delta} e^{-k\tau} \tau^k (\tau - 1) d\tau \sim A > 0 \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

A similar estimate is valid for $\int_{1-\delta}^1$ and, therefore, $|I_1| \geq K'k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $k \geq k_0$. I_2 can be estimated by (2.15)

$$|I_2| \leq \int_{\substack{|1-\tau| \geq \delta \\ \tau \geq 0}} W(k; 1, \tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_0^1 (1 - \tau)^2 W(k; 1, \tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{2}{k^2} \right)$$

But

$$\left| k^{k+1} \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\infty e^{-k\tau} \tau^k [f_1(\tau - 1) - f_1(0)] d\tau \right| \geq |I_1| - |I_2|,$$

which completes the proof that the expression in (5.1) is greater than $Kk^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

To show that the result of Theorem 3.1 is also best possible we use the same space, semi-group and function as in the above. We have to show that

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{|\tau-1| \leq \delta} K(1, \tau, \lambda) |\tau - 1| d\tau \geq c\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for some } c > 0 \lambda \geq \lambda_0.$$

Following calculations in Lemma 2.1 we obtain after messy derivations of $\int_0^\infty K(t, \tau, \lambda) \tau^i d\tau \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$(5.3) \quad \int_0^\infty K(t, \tau, \lambda) (t - \tau)^4 d\tau = \frac{12t^2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{72t}{\lambda^3} + \frac{24}{\lambda^4} + e^{-\lambda t} \left(\frac{4t^3}{\lambda} - \frac{12t^2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{24t}{\lambda^3} - \frac{24}{\lambda^4} \right).$$

In particular $\int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda)(1 - \tau)^4 d\tau = (12/\lambda^2) + o(1/\lambda^2)$.

Using (2.7) and Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) &= \int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda)(1 - \tau)^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda) |1 - \tau|^3 d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda) |1 - \tau| d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda) |1 - \tau|^4 d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda) |1 - \tau|^2 d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda) |1 - \tau| d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda) |1 - \tau| d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} &\geq \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right) \left(\frac{12}{\lambda^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{4/24}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

or
$$\int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda) |1 - \tau| d\tau \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|1-\tau| \geq \delta} K(1, \tau, \lambda) |1 - \tau| d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{|1-\tau| \geq \delta} K(1, \tau, \lambda)(1 - \tau)^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\infty K(1, \tau, \lambda)(1 - \tau)^2 d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \left[\frac{2}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right] \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

we can easily conclude the proof of (5.2).

We shall show now that if in Theorem 4.1 we assumed the existence and continuity of all derivatives of $T(t)f$, we would not improve our estimate.

Let us treat the Banach space of continuous functions in $[0, \infty)$, satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x}f(x) = 0$, with the norm $\|f\| = \sup |e^{-x}f(x)|$, and let $T(t)f(x) = f(x + t)$. Choosing now $f_1(x) = x^2$, obviously $T(t)f_1$ has all derivatives. Using the lemmas of Section 2 and Theorem 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\lambda) &= \left\| e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{n!} R(\lambda; A)^n f_1 - T(t) f_1 \right\| \\
 &= \sup_x \left| e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{(n!)^2} \tau^{n-1} [(\tau+x)^2 - (t+x)^2] d\tau \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + e^{-\lambda t} [x^2 - (t+x)^2] \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $x = 1$, then we have by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I(\lambda)| &\geq \int_0^{\infty} K(t, \tau, \lambda) [(\tau^2 - t^2) + 2(\tau - t)] d\tau \\
 &= \frac{4t}{\lambda} + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} - \frac{2e^{-t}}{\lambda^2} + 2 \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \right] \geq \frac{4t}{\lambda}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly and using the same example, we show that (4.2) cannot be improved:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(k) &= \left\| \left(\frac{k}{t} \right) R\left(\frac{k}{t}; A \right)^{k+1} f_1 - T(t) f_1 \right\| \\
 &= \sup_x \left| \int_0^{\infty} W(k; t, \tau) ((\tau+x)^2 - (t+x)^2) d\tau \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $x = 1$ and using (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(k) &= \int_0^{\infty} W(k; t, \tau) (\tau^2 - t^2) + 2(\tau - t) d\tau \\
 &= t^2 \left(\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{k^2} - 1 \right) + 2t \left(\frac{k+1}{k} - 1 \right) = t^2 \frac{3}{k} + \frac{2t}{k} + \frac{2t^2}{k^2} \geq \frac{2t}{k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

6. Remarks, generalizations and corollaries

In this section we make a few remarks that enable us to generalize somewhat theorems of former sections.

REMARK 6.1. The modulus of continuity $w_L(\delta, T(\cdot)f)$ depends on the modulus of continuity in $(0, \eta]$ $w_\eta(\delta, \tau(\cdot)f)$, $\eta > \delta$, since

$$(6.1) \quad w_L(\delta; T(\cdot)f) \leq \sup_{t \leq L-\eta} \|T(t)\| w_\eta(\delta; T(\cdot)f) \leq M e^{\alpha(L-\eta)} w_\eta(\delta; T(\cdot)f).$$

Therefore, in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 the rate of convergence could be estimated by $K(\eta)w_\eta(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}; T(\cdot)f)$ and $M(\eta)w_\eta(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}; T(\cdot)f)$ respectively. However, since (6.1) in many cases is far from being best possible, we may have a worse estimate using it instead of $w_L(\delta; T(\cdot)f)$.

REMARK 6.2. Following remark 6.1, we can also estimate $w_L(\delta; T(\cdot)Af)$ by $w_\eta(\delta, T(\cdot)Af)$ $\eta > \delta$ following the above

$$(6.2) \quad w_L(\delta; T(\cdot)Af) \leq Me^{\alpha(L-\eta)} w_\eta(\delta; T(\cdot)Af),$$

and therefore can give the estimates of Theorem 4.1 in terms of

$$\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} w_\eta(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}; T; T(\cdot)Af).$$

REMARK 6.3. It may be useful on some occasions to consider the modulus of continuity in $[a, b]$, instead of $(0, L)$, denoted by

$$(6.3) \quad w(\delta; T(\cdot)f, [a, b]) = \sup\{\|T(t_1)f - T(t_2)f\|; |t_1 - t_2| < \delta, a \leq t_1, t_2 \leq b\}.$$

We can observe easily a difference if we consider the semi-group of left translations on continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$, such that

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \geq 0} |e^{-x}f(x)|, \text{ and consider } f_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ (x-1)^\alpha & 1 < x < \infty \end{cases}$$

$w(\delta; T(\cdot)f_1) > \delta^\alpha$ while $w(\delta; T(\cdot)f_1, [2, 3]) < K \cdot \delta$. With slight modifications in the proof we have, instead of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, for $t \in [a + \eta, b - \eta]$

$$(6.5) \quad \|e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^h}{h!} (R(\lambda; A))^h f - T(t)f\| \leq Mw(-\frac{1}{2}, T(\cdot)f, [a, b]),$$

and

$$(6.6) \quad \left\| \left(\frac{k}{t} R \left(\frac{k}{t}; A \right) \right)^{k+1} f - T(t)f \right\| \leq Mw(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(\cdot)f, [a, b]),$$

where M depends on f , on $[a, b]$, and on the estimate $\|T(t)\| \leq Ke^{\alpha t}$.

REMARK 6.4. Similar modifications to those mentioned in Remark 6.3 about taking the modulus of continuity only on $[a, b]$, can be applied in Theorem 4.1 to the modulus of continuity of $(d/dt)T(t)f$. In fact, $T(t)f$ does not necessarily have a derivative in $(0, a]$ or $f \notin \mathcal{D}(A)$ but $T(a)f \in A$. We shall obtain for $t \in [a + \eta, b - \eta]$

$$(6.7) \quad \left\| \left(\frac{k}{t} R \left(\frac{k}{t}; A \right) \right)^{k+1} f - T(t)f \right\| \leq Mk^{-\frac{1}{2}} w_{b-a}(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tau(\cdot)AT(a)f),$$

and corresponding formula for the Phillips exponential formula.

REFERENCES

1. P. L. Butzer and H. Berens, *Semi-group of operators and approximation*, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
2. Z. Ditzian, *On Hille first exponential formula*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 351-355.
3. Z. Ditzian, *Note on Hille's exponential formula*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1970).
4. Z. Ditzian, *On rate of convergence of exponential formula*. (To appear in Bul. Inst. Polytechn.)
5. Z. Ditzian and A. Jakimovski, *Real inversion and jump formulae for the Laplace transform*, (Part I), Israel J. Math. **1** (1963), 85-104.
6. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. Vol. XXXI, 1957.
7. L. C. Hsu, *An estimation for the first exponential formula in the theory of semi-groups of linear operations*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **10** (1960), 323-328.
8. R. S. Phillips, *An inversion formula and semi-groups of linear operators*, Ann. of Math. **59** (1954), 325-356.
9. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform* Princeton Univ. Press, 1943.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON, ALBERTA